UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,684	05/09/2005	Annette E Fleckenstein	21101.0031U3	4643
	7590 02/29/200 <b>SENBERG, P.C.</b>	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1000 999 PEACHTREE STREET			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E	
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/528,684	FLECKENSTEIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin E. Weddington	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. It is period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed     2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b     3) ■ Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	o) This action is non-final.  or allowance except for formal matt	-			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the apudan (s) 3-27 and 29 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1, 2 and 28 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	is/are withdrawn from consideration	n.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the second or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang or correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO SI) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-29 are presented for examination.

Applicants' amendment and response filed December 6, 2007 have been received and entered.

Claims 3-27 and 29 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to the non-elected invention (37 CFR 1.142(b)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2 and 28 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a compound of formula (I) or (II) or the pharmaceutically acceptable salts, does not reasonably provide enablement for a prodrug or metabolite of the compound of formula (I) or (II). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicants' remarks regarding the applicants' specification does provide sufficient information regarding the subject matter of the claims as to enable one skilled in the pertinent art to make and use the claimed invention are not persuasive since the applicants have not showed or described the types of prodrugs or metabolites of formula (I) or (II) are intended by the recitation. Again, there is no

Art Unit: 1614

disclosure regarding any metabolite of the compounds of formula (I) or (II) disclosed in the instant specification.

The rejection made under 35 USC 112, first paragraph (scope of enablement) is adhered to.

Claims 1, 2 and 28 are not allowed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2 and 28 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Epstein et al. (US 2002/0103162 A1) of record, for reason of record as set forth in the previous Office action dated June 11, 2007 at pages 4-5 as applied to claims 1, 2 and 28.

Applicants' remarks regarding prior art, Epstein et al., does not teach or suggest specifically treating Parkinson's disease, not just memory loss, which is not always a part of Parkinson's disease are not persuasive since the applicants' claims do not exclude the critical feature of "memory loss". Clearly, applicants' claim 1 still reads on Parkinson's disease broadly, including memory defects such as age-associated memory impairment and dementia resulting from Parkinson's disease as set forth in Epstein et al.

The rejection made under 35 USC 102(e) is adhered to.

Claims 1, 2 and 28 are not allowed.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 12:30 pm-9:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin E. Weddington Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1614

/Kevin E. Weddington/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614